Introduction

• Graph mining: Extracting meaningful relationships from connected data objects (vertices).
• Community Detection: Identification of informative subgraphs, i.e. groups of vertices that belong together.
• Task: Finding interesting subgraphs, i.e. cohesive subgraphs whose attribute values are similar within the subgraph but exceptional when compared to the rest of the graph.

Pattern Language

Given a graph $G = (V, E, A)$, $V$ is a set of $n$ vertices, $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a set of $m$ edges, and $A$ is a set of $p$ numerical attributes on vertices $a(i) \in \text{Dom}$, the value of attribute $a \in A$ on $v \in V$. $X(v) = \{x \in V \mid \text{dist}(x,v) \leq d\}$. $\Lambda = \{\{x\in V \mid v \in V \land d \leq d\}\}$. We define a CSEA pattern (Cohesive Subgraphs with Exceptional Attributes) as a tuple $(U, S)$ such that:

• $U \subseteq V$
• $S \subseteq \{a(v, x) \mid a \in A\}$
• $\forall i \in U, k_i \leq a(i) \leq \ell_i$

Subjective Interestingness of CSEA patterns

$\text{SI}(U, S) = \frac{\text{IC}(U, S)}{\text{DC}(U, S)}$

• $\text{IC}(U, S) = -\log(\text{Pr}(U, S))$

IC is the amount of information provided by showing the user a pattern. The quantification is based on the gain from a Maximum Entropy background model that delimates the current knowledge of a user.

$\text{Pr}(U, S) = \prod_{v \in U} \text{Pr}(a(v, x) \mid \text{attr}(u, x) \leq d)$

$\Lambda = \{\{x\in V \mid v \in V \land d \leq d\}\}$. The defnition of interestingness is based on information theory, as the ratio of the information content (IC) over the description length DL.

$\text{SI}(U, S) = \text{IC}(U, S) - \log(\text{Pr}(U, S))$

• $\text{DL}(U, S) = \text{DL}(U) + \text{DL}(S)$:

The DL assesses the complexity of reading a pattern, the user being interested in concise and intuitive descriptions. Thus, we describe a set of vertices as an intersection of neighborhoods of certain distance from certain vertices, the distance and vertices making up the description of the subgraph $N(U) = \{x(v) \in N \mid u \subseteq N(x)(v)\}$. $f(U, X) = |X| + 1 - \log(|N(U)|) + |\{X \cup U\} + 1 - \log(|(U, X)\}|$ $\text{DL}(U) = \min_{N \subseteq X \cup U} f(U, X)$.

Pattern Language

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patterns</th>
<th>$S = {a(v, x, k)}$</th>
<th>$\text{SI}(P)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>food: [0.0, 0.1]</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>[food: [0.0, 0.49], art: [0.0, 0.0], college: [1], event: [1]]</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>[museum: [0.0, 0.44], food: [0.0, 0.13]]</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>[professional: [0.0, 0.05], college: [0.0, 0.05], outdoors: [0.0, 0.44]]</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_5$</td>
<td>[food: [0.0, 0.49], college: [0.0, 0.05], outdoors: [0.0, 0.44]]</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Green cells represents vertices covered by a CSEA pattern

Blue cells are the centers

Purple cells are the centers that do not belong to the pattern.

Orange cells are centers that are also exception (i.e. behave differently from the pattern but covered by the description)

Red cells are normal exceptions.

• $P_1$ covers the neighborhood of range 3 from the orange vertex, with an overexpression of Food venues.
• $P_2$ is described by the intersection of the neighbors of the blue vertices with a maximum distance of 3. It covers the City of London where there is a significant amount of professional venues, college, universities, and outdoor venues.

Ingredients Results

Patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patterns</th>
<th>$S = {a(v, x, k)}$</th>
<th>$\text{SI}(P)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{11}$</td>
<td>Italian: [0.0, 10^{-10}], Japanese: [0.0, 0.44]</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{12}$</td>
<td>Italian: [0.0, 10^{-10}], Japanese: [0.0, 0.44]</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above are two patterns discovered by SIAS-Miner in the Ingredients graph.

• $P_{11}$ corresponds to a set of ingredients that appear a lot in Italian recipes. They are described as neighbors of mozzarella cheese, with two exceptions.
• $P_{12}$ consists in some ingredients that are over expressed on Indian and Japanese recipes. They can be expressed as the neighbors of both ghee and garlic paste, with 6 exceptions.

Conclusion

• We introduced a new pattern syntax in attributed graphs, CSEA, that, given a vertex attributed graph, would provide the user with a set of attributes that have exceptional values throughout a subset of vertices.
• The definition of interestiness is based on information theory, as the ratio of the information content (IC) over the description length DL.
• We have proposed an effective algorithm that enumerates and ranks patterns of this language.
• Empirical results on 2 real-world datasets confirm that CSEA patterns are intuitive, and the interestingness measure aligns well with actual subjective interestingness.
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